10

15

20

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. In a system comprising one or more client computers connected to the Internet by client premises equipment serving a routing function for client computers, a method for managing Internet access based on a specified access policy, the method comprising:

transmitting a challenge from said client premises equipment to each client computer, for determining whether a given client computer is in compliance with said specified access policy;

transmitting a response from at least one client computer back to said client premises equipment, for responding to said challenge that has been issued; and

blocking Internet access for any client computer that does not respond appropriately to said challenge.

- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein a client computer that does not respond at all is blocked from Internet access.
- 3. The method of claim 1, wherein a client computer that responds with a particular predefined code indicating non-compliance is blocked from Internet access.
- 4. The method of claim 1, wherein a client computer that responds with a particular predefined code indicating compliance is permitted Internet access.
 - 5. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

before receipt of a challenge, transmitting an initial message from a particular client computer to the client premises equipment, for requesting the client premises equipment to transmit a challenge to that particular client computer.

- 6. The method of claim 5, wherein said initial message comprises a "client hello" packet.
- 7. The method of claim 1, wherein said client premises equipment is capable of permitting Internet access by selected client computers and denying access to other client computers.

10

15

- 8. The method of claim 1, wherein said access policy specifies rules that govern Internet access by the client computers.
- 9. The method of claim 1, wherein said step of blocking Internet access includes: determining whether permitting Internet access for a given client computer would violate any of said rules, and

if permitting such Internet access would violate any of said rules, denying Internet access for that client computer.

- 10. The method of claim 1, wherein said access policy includes rules that are enforced against selected ones of users, computers, and groups thereof.
- 11. The method of claim 1, wherein said access policy specifies which applications are allowed Internet access.
 - 12. The method of claim 1, wherein said access policy specifies applications that are allowed Internet access.
 - 13. The method of claim 12, wherein said applications are specified by executable name and version number that are acceptable.
 - 14. The method of claim 12, wherein said applications are specified by digital signatures that are acceptable.
 - 15. The method of claim 14, wherein said digital signatures are computed using a cryptographic hash.
- 20 16. The method of claim 15, wherein said cryptographic hash comprises a selected one of Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA-1) and MD5 cryptographic hashes.
 - 17. The method of claim 1, wherein said access policy specifies Internet access activities that are permitted or restricted for applications or versions thereof.

5

- 18. The method of claim 1, wherein said access policy specifies rules that are transmitted to client computers from a remote location.
- 19. The method of claim 18 wherein said remote location comprises a centralized location for maintaining said access policy.
- 20. The method of claim 1, wherein said blocking step includes:

determining, based on identification of a particular client computer or group thereof, a specific subset of rules filtered for that particular client computer or group thereof.

- 21. The method of claim 1, wherein said challenge includes a request for a particular client computer to respond as to whether it is in compliance with said access policy.
- 10 22. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

redirecting a client computer that is not in compliance with said access policy to a sandbox server; and

informing such client computer that it is not in compliance with said access policy.

23. The method of claim 22 further comprising:

redirecting a client computer that is not in compliance with a particular access policy, to a particular port on the sandbox server; and

displaying particular error message pages on the sandbox server in response to communications on particular ports.

24. In a system comprising one or more client computers connected to the Internet by
 client premises equipment serving a routing function for client computers, a method for managing Internet access based on a specified access policy, the method comprising:

transmitting a challenge from said client premises equipment to each client computer, for determining whether a given client computer is in compliance with said specified access policy;

25 transmitting a response from at least one client computer back to said client premises equipment, for responding to said challenge that has been issued; and

redirecting a request for Internet access by any client computer that does not respond appropriately to said challenge to a sandbox server.

- 25. The method of claim 24, further comprising:
- displaying an error message on the sandbox server to any client computer that does not respond appropriately to said challenge.
 - 26. The method of claim 25, further comprising:

after display of such error message, permitting said client computer to elect to access the Internet.

- 27. The method of claim 24, wherein a client computer that responds with a particular predefined code indicating non-compliance is redirected to said sandbox server.
 - 28. The method of claim 24, wherein a client computer that responds with a particular predefined code indicating compliance is permitted Internet access.
 - 29. The method of claim 24, further comprising:

before receipt of a challenge, transmitting an initial message from a particular client computer to the client premises equipment, for requesting the client premises equipment to transmit a challenge to that particular client computer.

- 30. The method of claim 29, wherein said initial message comprises a "client hello" packet.
- 31. The method of claim 24, wherein said client premises equipment is capable of permitting Internet access by selected client computers and redirecting other client computers to the sandbox server.
 - 32. The method of claim 24, wherein said access policy includes rules that are enforced against selected ones of users, computers, and groups thereof.

15

20

- 33. The method of claim 24, wherein said access policy specifies which applications are allowed Internet access.
- 34. The method of claim 24, wherein said access policy specifies executable names and version number of applications that are allowed Internet access.
- 5 35. The method of claim 24, wherein said access policy specifies Internet access activities that are permitted or restricted for applications or versions thereof.
 - 36. The method of claim 24, wherein said access policy specifies rules that are transmitted to client computers from a remote location.
 - 37. The method of claim 36, wherein said remote location comprises a centralized location for maintaining said access policy.
 - 38. The method of claim 24, wherein said step of redirecting a client computer includes:

determining, based on identification of a particular client computer or group thereof, a specific subset of rules filtered for that particular client computer or group thereof.

- 39. The method of claim 24, wherein said challenge includes a request for a particular client computer to respond as to whether it is in compliance with said access policy.
 - 40. The method of claim 24, further comprising:

redirecting a client computer that is not in compliance with a particular access policy, to a particular port on the sandbox server; and

displaying particular error messages on the sandbox server in response to communications on particular ports.

41. The method of claim 24, further comprising:

permitting client computers that are not in compliance with particular access policies to elect to access the Internet; and

15

25

blocking computers that are not in compliance with other access policies from accessing the Internet.

- 42. The method of claim 24, wherein said applications are specified by digital signatures that are acceptable.
- 5 43. The method of claim 42, wherein said digital signatures are computed using a cryptographic hash.
 - 44. The method of claim 43, wherein said cryptographic hash comprises a selected one of Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA-1) and MD5 cryptographic hashes.
 - 45. A system for regulating Internet access by client computers comprising: an access policy governing Internet access by said client computers; client premises equipment serving a routing function for each client computer to be regulated and capable of issuing a challenge to each client computer, for determining whether a given client computer is in compliance with said access policy;

one or more client computers which can connect to the Internet and at least one of which can respond to challenges issued by said client premises equipment; and

an enforcement module for selectively blocking Internet access to the Internet to client computers not in compliance with said access policy.

- 46. The system of claim 45, wherein said client premises equipment includes a router.
- 47. The system of claim 45, wherein said access policy is provided at each client computer to be regulated.
 - 48. The system of claim 45, wherein said enforcement module is provided at said client premises equipment.
 - 49. The system of claim 45, wherein said at least one client computer capable of respond to challenges can respond with a particular predefined code indicating noncompliance with said access policy is blocked from Internet access.

10

15

- 50. The system of claim 49, wherein a client computer that responds with a particular predefined code indicating compliance with said access policy is permitted Internet access.
- 51. The system of claim 45, wherein at least one of the client computer is capable of transmitting an initial message to the client premises equipment before receipt of a challenge, for requesting the client premises equipment to transmit a challenge to that particular client computer.
- 52. The system of claim 45, wherein said enforcement module is capable of permitting Internet access by selected client computers and denying access to other client computers.
- 53. The system of claim 45, wherein said access policy includes rules that are enforced against selected ones of users, computers, and groups thereof.
- 54. The system of claim 53, wherein said enforcement module is capable of determining, based on identification of a particular client computer or group thereof, a specific subset of said access policies filtered for that particular client computer or group thereof.
- 55. The system of claim 45, wherein said access policy specifies applications that are allowed Internet access.
- 56. The system of claim 55, wherein said applications are specified by executable name and version number that are acceptable.
- 57. The system of claim 55, wherein said access policy specifies types of activities which applications are allowed to perform or restricted from performing.
 - 58. The system of claim 55, wherein said applications are specified by digital signatures that are acceptable.

15

- 59. The system of claim 58, wherein said digital signatures are computed using a cryptographic hash.
- 60. The system of claim 59, wherein said cryptographic hash comprises a selected one of Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA-1) and MD5 cryptographic hashes.
- 5 61. The system of claim 45, further comprising:
 a sandbox server to which client computers that are not in compliance with said access policy are redirected.
 - 62. The system of claim 61, wherein said sandbox server informs non-compliant client computers that they are not in compliance with said access policy.
 - 63. The system of claim 62, wherein said client computers client computers may elect to access the Internet after being informed that they are not in compliance with said access policy.
 - 64. The system of claim 61, wherein:

said enforcement module is capable of redirecting a client computer that is not in compliance with a particular access policy to a particular port on the sandbox server; and said sandbox server is capable of displaying particular error message pages in response to communications on particular ports.